App F IX-4

1925. (Inwards)

File No. 240-25

Previous References
L.R-2-24.
Mis 396-20-1-4

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later References
4-32C 12 (13)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for July 1928

SUBJECT

Acceptance of ½ portion of Godi Chavadi village for the use of Anjaneya Swami & Sri Veeraswami temples at Chintamani

---

4 NR 108 dated 25.7.28 To the Mysore Govt

Records the communication from

Serial No

D-840

Acceptance of ½ village Charapalli Chintamani taluk for the use of Anjaneya Swami & Sundareswara Stone Temples at Chintamani

---

First Member's minute of 15-1-26 in the Revenue Branch file No. 2 of 1926

(10) × × × Re acceptance by S.C. of the endowment of ½ of the Jodi village by the Inam Commr. and the assumption of its management by Govt., it should be noted that there does not seem to be any Govt. in the Main dept.

Sd K.S.R.
13/1

(18) The draft as revised may be issued and the file transferred to this Revn Branch for dealing with the questions raised in my minute of 15-1-26.

Sd K.S.
21/1

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 1264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III.—2

Inam File No. of 1925

Serial No.

3 One Narniah Setty, holder of 1/4 Share of the jodi Village of Chorapalli, Chintamani Taluk, endowed his Share to the temple of Sri Brijaneya Swamy and Sri Sundareswara Swamy in Chintamani town under a registered Will Dt 18-7-1921. (Vide R.e 15 letter Dt 7.1.1926).

(copy)

9. It is reported that Sri Brijaneya Swamy temple in question is a muz. institution endowed with cash grants and land inam (Vide Amildar's Report Dt 22-3-1926) and it is mentioned in the Will the idols of Sundareswara

0. and Brimanavaru were consecrated in the temple by the donor's ancestors. He now desires that after him, some should assume the management of the endowments, and arrange for the conduct of worships.

7. (Vide the Will executed by him). On his order of 1924, the DC has accepted the endowments under items 12 and 23 of the schedule of powers of the Inam Com^n appended to G.O. Dt 25-9-22. Though the R.C. is authorized to sanct such endowments, orders of Govt are passed.

3

J.9

Submit. for orders
BV 28.1.26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264 —GPB —40,000—17-12-26

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 1926

Serial No.

× Z

5 In the Schedule of powers of the Dy. Mar. Comr. of the Govt. published vide Govt. No. 1332-33/misc 63-22-9 dt 25-9-22, the duty of accepting land is vested in the Mar. Comr, yet the matter is invariably coming up before Govt. for sanction. The Dy Comr has in this case exceeded his powers.

28/1/26

6 In the circs, the Mar. Comr. may be asked to point this out to the D.C., & ratify the action, as done by him.

Rev. Secy

7 Please put up Mang file 63-22-9 heading 6-60 dt 25-9-22.

28/1 30.1.26

8 1st Member 27.2.26.

30/1 1/3

17/3

9 The Mar. Comr may be asked to obtain the Explr. from the D.C. for exceeding his powers & to report with his opinion.

1/3

10

D/o Govt for approval

6/3/1926. Rev. Secy 6/3

20/3/26 1/3 1926

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

2 To. The Mess Commr no 1139 dt 8.3.26
Requests him to obtain the explns of the D.C. in the matter & submit the same to Govt with his opinion

3. From. the Mess Commr.
Letter no. C.1021 dt 18.11.1926.
Submits a copy of Kolar Dy. Comr's. letter containing his explanation called for in Sl. 2, and states that the explanation offered seems satisfactory and may be accepted.
Submitted - to the Dy Comr Mess
AD
20.11.26 the explns the Circr under which the village had to be taken under Govt. management, and it has been done in good faith & in public interest. The correspondence may be returned & the records

14

(Sanction to prosecute the Accountant has not been given) Dinkerfile

Dy
20-11-26.

16 Put up
2nd December

22/11

17 1 AD. Yes.

18 2 D Sh to prosecute Cons must be given and the reply must be given to Pet. unless there is any reminder asking for one.

19 3. The Mc may be asked to regularise the arrangement by according Sanction & it and report thereon

SECRETARY 1155 23 NOV. 1926 No. 3579

NOTE—Contn Sheet

624

WD 01264 —GPB —40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue
ecretariat

File No.

of 192

Serial No.

arrangements for the management of the institution have been or will be Made

Mds 24.11.26

20

Dft. order submitd.

MD 25.11.26

25.11.26

21

Issue

MD 8/12

4. To.

Mrs Comrs No 10908 11.12.26

Passes order on question of acceptance of the endowment offered & orders calls for report on the arrangement made for the management of the property

16/12 5. From, Mr D C. Letter no. 1021 dd 10th July 1928.

Reports that the acceptance of the endowment ordered in Sl. 4 has been regularised by this office order no. 1021 dd 1.1928, and recommends sanction to a partition being effected by metes and bounds, as agreed to by the parties, the work being done by the Taluk Mozindar

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 260 of 1925.

Serial No.

A

May the Dy C's Com^n so far as it relates to the endowment, be recorded?

B As regards the Re-measurement of the lands with ref. to the "Tippanni" etc. to be undertaken by the Taluk Mojinidar, Sanatim may be arranged perhaps through Rev. Branch.

Submr. for orders.

AV 18.7.1928. (Mr Mehta)

17/7

Mr Mehta

C A - Yes 19.7.28

D

Dft. G.O Submd:- After issue of orders by 21.7.28. the file will be forwarded to Rev. Br. as directed above

Mr Mehta

Yes

21/7/28

6 To Mess Com^r No 208 dt 21/7/28

Records the M.C's Com^n & states that orders re Re-measurement of lands will be issued separately.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

FIN. SEC. 17 JULY 1928 No. 405

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

R 734 7

28/7/28

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Irrig. File No. 240 of 19 25

Serial No.

28 May be forwarded to Rev. Branch (Vide Ref. para 23)

Section Officer.

Ay 25.7.28.

(to the Asst. Rev. Branch with compliments)

Mr. P.SN 28/7

29

30 A copy of the PWD extracts of the office notes and orders contained in paras 23 & 25 in the previous page are taken & field separately this may be returned to the Irrig. Branch. D 25.7.28

31 We have copy of the PD. is also taken for reference

2/8

32 May be returned to Irrig Branch

33 3/8

To Irrig Branch 6/8

Rec. PB. 2-Aug

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Section mur

File No. 240-25

Diary No. of letter replied to...

Seria No. 2

No. 139

Encl files

To

The Inspr. Genl

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 8/3/26

Signed by

Adverting to letter no. C. 3662 dy 9th January 26 from the Revenue Comr in Mysore reporting on the petition from B.S. Sabhapathiah, jodidar of Cherapalli Village Chittamur Taluk soliciting sanction of Govt to prosecute Mr R. Puttanadappa, Amildar, I am directed from the V. memo read therein to observe that the My Comr, Kolar, has

and encl no. R. 3695/25-24 dy 22-1-1926 dismissed rejecting the prayer

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

R.H.C. 199/22-V5

in his order dt 10th January 1924, ~~fixing responsibility regarding the lapsing~~ and directing the assumption under Govt management, of the 1/4th share of the Chorapalli village in Srikakulam endowed to the temples of Sri Sundareswara Swamy and Brijaneya Swamy in Chintamani town, ~~and to report that as the Dy Comr has~~ Sri Narasimha Murthy, exceeded the powers vested in him under S.O. No. 1332-33/Inam 63-22-9 dt 25th Septr 1922, and to report that he do so fully as to obtain his explanation ~~for the~~ in the matter, and submit ~~send it up~~ with your opinion, for the orders of Govt

(2) The records are herewith returned for reference

RH 2 Rev Secretary
5.3.26

No. C 102/25/6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 17th November 1926.

From

Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

MUZRAI D 674

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1139/M.F.240-25-2 dated 6th March 1926 in the matter of the assumption under Government management of the ½ share of the Chorapalli village endowed to the temples of Sri Sundareswaraswami and Anjaneyaswami in ----- Chintamani town, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.A.E-C.54/26-27 dated 5th October 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, submitting his explanation on the observations conveyed in your letter under reply.

2. I am of opinion that the explanation offered seems ---- satisfactory and may be accepted.

3. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

46.

Letter No.A-2.C.54-26-27 dated 5th October 1926 from the -- Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to your letter No.C.1021/25-26 dated 16th March - 1926, calling for explanation with reference to my order dated 10th January 1924, directing the assumption under Government management - of the ½ share of the Unorapalli village in Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is abundantly clear from my order dated 10th January 1924, that I was quite alive to the fact that - the endowment could only be accepted by the Muzrai Commissioner and that my order of that date was intended to complete an enquiry to that end. I have distinctly stated in that order that "there is no doubt that the testator's share of the Inam village should come under the management of Government. the Amildar should satisfy ~~xxxxxxx~~ himself that the testator had power to will away the properties and he be asked to issue notice to the hissedars concerned intimating that the Government are entitled to share of the produce." But as in the meanwhile, there was a well grounded apprehension of the misappropriation of the income due to the temple, orders were issued to the Amildar to see that the ½ share of the crop was not taken away by persons not entitled to it by directing the issue of notices to the hissedars not to remove the crop. In my latter order dated 12th September 1924 I have amplified my order and cautioned the Amildar against unnecessary interference with the affairs of the village or with the rights of the Jodidar and the tenants interse and as it was brought to my notice that the Amildar had taken the crop under amanat which I had not authorised him to do, I ordered the crop to be released at once if they paid up the share of the - property due to the temple. My last order of 26th August 1925 makes this position even clearer. It will thus be seen that I did not profess to take under Government management the endowment in question but only took preliminary action to safeguard the -- benefit of an endowment which had been made to the temple but to protect which there was no one as the donor was dead. It is to safeguard public interest that I took the preliminary action --- referred to above and in the circumstances, I sumbit that my action cannot be held to be irregular. Should, however, Government -- consider it otherwise I can only express regret for what might at most be only an error of discretion. The Amildar's action ----

action throughout was also dictated by the same c
mine and was perfectly in good faith as already reported
letter No.R.C.716/24-25 dated 3rd December 1925."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue 11-12-26

Signed by. M. Venkatesa Iyengar

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no C. 1021 dt 18th June 1926 from the Muzr. Comr in Mysore Reporting in the matter of the acceptance by the Dy. Comr, Kolar Dist., of one-fourth Share of the Jodi Chowkapalli Village, Chintamani Taluk for the benefit of Sri Sundareswara Swamy and Anjaneya Swamy temples in Chintamani town.

Order Thereon No.

Order Thereon

1090/Secretary

From the correspondence received from the
Agent of Mr. one Segu Narsiah Setty
who was the owner of 1/4th
share of Jodi Chennapalli Village
of the Ambajidurg Hobli,
Chintamani Taluk, endowed
his share of the said village
for the conduct
of worship to ~~both~~ Sri
Bijaneya and Sri Sundareswara
of Nava Simhasan Chintamani
town. Under a Registered Will
dt 18-7-1921 the Sri Bijaneya
Swamy temple in question
is a Muzrai institution endowed
with cash grant and lands while the idols of Sri Sundareswara
and Annamanaru were
consecrated in the temple
by the donor's ancestors.
The donor desired that after
him, Sons should assume
the management of the
endowments and arrange
for the conduct of worships.

List of enclosures.

It is reported that
on the death of the donor
~~He has taken no A-I-C-S-96~~ Copied by Examined by
~~read with the M.O. Cur. dt 15-11-1926,~~
~~dt 5-10-1926 the Dy. Cur., Kolar,~~
~~Reports~~
~~Stating that~~ the Dy. Commissioner/ordered dt
10th January 1924, ~~he stated~~
~~that there was no doubt~~
~~that~~ the testator's share

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

~~of the Nam Village should~~ come under the Management of Govt., and that the Amildar should be asked to issue necessary notice to the Vissedars & Cultivators as in the meantime, there was a well-grounded apprehension of the misappropriation of the Income due to the ~~Temple~~, he issued orders to the Amildar of Chandranath to see that steps to protect the interests of the Temple ~~Share~~ of the crops ~~was not taken away~~ by persons not entitled to it by directing the issue of notices to the Vissedars not to remove ~~the crop~~.

issued instead —

on the papers endorsed by Nanavati

(2) The correspondence is recorded. The Judicial Commissioner is requested to explain the arrangement made in the case

WD 2230—GPB—1 00 000 2 7 20

by according sanction to the explaining
~~the report~~ what arrangements
for the management
of the site has been
or will be made may be reported to the
Corporation of York

Dft Mc Secretary

Fig 8/12/26

Mr Inwood. Can I bring the records

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....5th.July.....1928

10.

No. 1024 Sundry File. 25-6

SECRETARIAT
10 JULY 1928
291

FROM

F. C. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

11

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., 961
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.[illegible], dated 11th December 1926 passing orders in the matter of the endowment of certain share of Kodi Chorakatti village in the Chintamani Taluk, Kolar District, Maranjah in favour of the Sri Kodandaramaswami and Sri Chandramouleeswara temples in Chintamani town, I have the honour to state that the acceptance of the endowment has been regularised by this office order No.C.1.[illegible] of 25-26 dated 17th January 1927.

A copy of the notes submitted by Commissioner's letter No. A9-[illegible]/2468 of 27-3-23 stating that the Deputy Commissioner of [illegible] for the assessment of the Cocoanut portion of the village [illegible] To avoid all future trouble at the time of levying the present or ordinary rate of assessment [illegible] remarked by the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] may kindly be referred to [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Yours

Alfred D'Souza

Letter No.A3-C.2486/27-28 dated 18th June 1928 fro the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

"With reference to your Memo No.C.1021/25-26 dated 10th April 1928, calling for further report on the arrangement made for the Government management of the endowed portion of the Chorappalli village, -- Chintamani Taluk, on behalf of the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswami in -- which Sri Sundareswara and Ammanavaru are installed, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of correspondence ending with the Malur Sub Division Officer's letter No.C.90/27-28 dated 7-6-1928 for information and to state that it is reported that the Goudars are -- agreeable to a partition being effected by metes and bounds, and that they plead inability to bear the cost of partition in full and undertake to provide ⅓ labour for the work. It is further reported that the boundary marks are in a state of complete disrepair and a remeasurement of the lands with reference to the "Tippani" is recommended. The Sub Division Officer is of opinion that the Taluk Mojanidar may be -- deputed for the work in addition to his own. I have asked the Sub Division Officer, Malur to take the necessary action in the matter. As

From the correspondence read from the Muccorish

as it is further reported that the usufruct of Government's share has been leased out to the cultivators themselves for 5 years at Rs.75 per annum, I am of opinion that this arrangement may be allowed to continue during the period of lease, action being meanwhile taken to demarcate the portions so as effect a partition, through the ~~xxxx~~ taluk Mojamidar, whose remuneration for this work may kindly be approved by the Revenue Commissioner."

"Copy"

For Mukhtar Commissioner.

Diary No of letter replied to

Section Mug
File No. 240-25
Serial No 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 25/7/28

Signed b. [illegible]

Read—

Govt Pro no 1090/mugrac 240-25-4 Dy 11th December 26 passing orders in the matter of the endowment of one fourth Share of Jodi chorattpalli Village in the chintamani Taluk by Segu Ramiah in favour of Sri Anjaneya-Swamy and Sri Somiareswara Swamy temples in chintamani Town

Letter no 1021/25 6. of 10th May 1928 from the Mugrac Comr reporting that the acceptance of the endowment ordered above, has been regula...

May this be

i Printed

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

AB
RO
26/7.

by his office, order no 1021 dt
17th January 1928

Order 208 & 25-1-28
Recorded Encl 1 & file

(1) Separate order will issue
in the matter of the re-
measurement of the lands
by the Taluka Inspector.

DO(P) draft for sig

To the Insp-Comr Rly.
Secd

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by